



Impact of the detector definition on the Reverse Monte Carlo calculation result – FASTRAD[®] 3.7

<u>P. Pourrouquet</u>, V. Traisnel, and A. Varotsou (TRAD) G. Rolland (CNES)



08th March 2017

TRAD, Tests & Radiations



Most of TID calculations performed with point detectors

But

How to select their location?

- On the surface,
- At the center,
- Somewhere in between...
- Is it possible to compare doses for point and volume detectors?
 - Shapes to consider: cube, slab
 - Dimensions : 1, 10 or 100µm

Is there an impact of the environment?

- Protons,
- Electrons (GEO and Jovian)







At component level

- Detector definition
- Shielding geometry effect

For external materials

- Point detector locations
- Volume detector dimensions and shapes

Conclusions





Detector Definition











1 mm





Component – Cube detector definition

• 10µm Cube













Component – Slab detector definition

100µm Slab









Component – Slab detector definition

10µm Slab









Component – Slab detector definition







Point detector set at 50µm below the surface of the die



Point detector set at 5µm below the surface of the die







Point detector set at 0.5µm below the surface of the die







3D Radiation Models



08th March 2017





Component – model definition

Simple models





Complete satellite model



08th March 2017







14



Results for Components







Location/dimension impact for point and volume detectors

- No effect for protons (discrepancies below 10%)
- Electrons : non negligible impact
 - ▶ Dose_{Point0.5} ~ Dose_{Point5} ≥ Dose_{Point50} (max. 11%)
 - ▶ Dose_{Slab1} ≥ Dose_{Slab10} ≥ Dose_{Slab100} (max. 25%)
 - ▶ Dose_{Cube1} ≥ Dose_{Cube10} ~ Dose_{Cube100} (max. 44%)





Impact of detector type

- No effect for protons (discrepancies below 11%)
- Electrons : non negligible impact

 $Dose_{Point} \ge Dose_{Slab} \ge Dose_{Cube}$

Except for a GEO mission at surface: Dose_{Slab} > Dose_{Point} > Dose_{Cube} (max. 27%)







Results for External Materials







External materials

Sampling on different materials located outside the satellite

- NBK7 for lenses
- Zerodur and SiC for mirrors

Cylindrical models

- 5 cm radius and 2 cm thickness
- Detectors set from 1µm to 1mm









Study on locations/dimensions for same detector type confirms the steep dose gradient for satellite external surfaces

Dose evolution according to the volume detector thickness and point detector location - GEO mission







External materials

Impact of detector type:

- No effect between volume detectors except at surface with:
 - Dose_{Cube} < Dose_{Slab} (up to 27%)
- High impact between volume and point detectors due to the steep gradient dose
 - For example Dose_{Point}(50µm depth) < Dose_{Cube}(100µm thickness)
 Up to 300% difference for protons







Conclusions - Components

Proton environment:

• No effect of the detector type or location/dimension

Electron environment:

- Detector location/dimension:
 - Gradient for volume detectors for GEO mission (Cube: max. 44% / Slab: max. 25%)
 - Lower gradient for point detectors (up to 13%)

 $Dose_{100\mu m} < Dose_{10\mu m} < Dose_{1\mu m}$

- Detector type:
 - Generally observed effect

 $Dose_{Cube} < Dose_{Slab} \leq Dose_{Point}$





Comparison on detector dimensions/locations:

 Very steep gradient according to the point detector depth or the volume detector thickness

Comparison on the detector types:

- Difference between cubes and slabs only at surface
- Important difference between volume and point detectors

It is important to adapt the choice of the detector to the sensitive effect to study (volume or at a certain location/depth)





Thank you for your attention



